UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION	MDL No. 2323
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Plaintiffs' Master Administrative Long- Form Complaint and (if applicable) Sam "Bam" Cunningham" v. National Football League [et al.], No. 2:12-CV-06008-R-MAN	SHORT FORM COMPLAINT IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SHORT FORM	COMPLAINT
1. Plaintiff(s), Charlie Phillips	, (and, if applicable,
Plaintiff's Spouse)	, bring(s) this civil action as a related action in
the matter entitled IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBA	ALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION
INJURY LITIGATION, MDL No. 2323.	
2. Plaintiff (and, if applicable, Plaint complaint as required by this Court's Case Mana	iff's Spouse) is/are filing this short form gement Order No. 2, filed April 26, 2012.
3. Plaintiff (and, if applicable Plainti	ff's Spouse), incorporate(s) by reference the
allegations (as designated below) of the Master	Administrative Long-Form Complaint, as may

be amended, as if fully set forth at length in this Short Form Complaint.

4.	[Fill in if applicable]	Plaintiff is filing this cas	se in a representative capacity as the
	of		_, having been duly appointed as the
	by the	Court of	. (Cross out
sentence belo	ow if not applicable.)	Copies of the Letters of A	dministration/Letters Testamentary
for a wrongfi	ul death claim are anne	xed hereto if such Letters	are required for the commencement
of such a clai	im by the Probate, Surr	ogate or other appropriate	e court of the jurisdiction of the
decedent.			
5.	Plaintiff, Charlie Ph	illips , is a resident a	nd citizen of
Pasadena, C	California	and claims	s damages as set forth below.
6.	[Fill in if applicable]	Plaintiff's spouse,	is a resident and
citizen of P	asadena, California_, ar	nd claims damages as a re	sult of loss of consortium
proximately	caused by the harm suf	ffered by her Plaintiff hus	band/decedent.
7.	On information and	belief, the Plaintiff (or de	cedent) sustained repetitive,
traumatic sul	b-concussive and/or co	ncussive head impacts du	ring NFL games and/or practices.
On informati	ion and belief, Plaintiff	suffers (or decedent suff	ered) from symptoms of brain injury
caused by the	e repetitive, traumatic	sub-concussive and/or co	ncussive head impacts the Plaintiff
(or decedent)) sustained during NFL	games and/or practices.	On information and belief,
the Plaintiff	s (or decedent's) symp	toms arise from injuries t	hat are latent and have developed
and continue	e to develop over time.		
8.	[Fill in if applicable] The original complaint	by Plaintiff(s) in this matter was filed
	r Court of the State of Californ Los Angeles on May 18, 2012		nanded, it should be remanded to
	urt of the State of California, Angeles Central District		

9.	Plainti	ff claims damages as a result of [check all that apply]:
	✓	Injury to Herself/Himself
		Injury to the Person Represented
		Wrongful Death
		Survivorship Action
	\checkmark	Economic Loss
		Loss of Services
		Loss of Consortium
10.	[Fill in	n if applicable] As a result of the injuries to her husband,
Charlie Phill	ips_	, Plaintiff's Spouse,, suffers from a
loss of conso	rtium, ir	ncluding the following injuries:
lo	ss of ma	arital services;
lo	ss of co	mpanionship, affection or society;
lo	ss of su	pport; and
m	onetary	losses in the form of unreimbursed costs she has had to expend for the
health	n care ar	nd personal care of her husband.
11.	[Chec	k if applicable] Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable)
reserve(s) the	right to	o object to federal jurisdiction.

DEFENDANTS

12.	Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable) bring(s) this case against the		
following Def	fendants	in this action [check all that apply]:	
	✓	National Football League	
	√	NFL Properties, LLC	
	\checkmark	Riddell, Inc.	
	\checkmark	All American Sports, Inc. (d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc.)	
	✓	Riddell Sports Group, Inc.	
	✓	Easton-Bell Sports, Inc.	
	✓	Easton-Bell Sports, LLC	
	\checkmark	EB Sports Corporation	
	\checkmark	RBG Holdings Corporation	
13.	[Checl	k where applicable] As to each of the Riddell Defendants referenced above,	
the claims ass	serted ar	e: design defect; manufacturing defect.	
14.	[Checl	k if applicable] The Plaintiff (or decedent) wore one or more helmets	
designed and/	or manı	afactured by the Riddell Defendants during one or more years Plaintiff (or	
decedent) pla	yed in th	he NFL and/or AFL.	
15.	Plaint	iff played in [check if applicable] the National Football League	
("NFL") and/	or in [cl	neck if applicable] the American Football League ("AFL") during	

1975-1979		for the following teams: Oakland Raiders
	 -	
		CAUSES OF ACTION
16.	Plainti	ff herein adopts by reference the following Counts of the Master
Administrativ	e Long-	Form Complaint, along with the factual allegations incorporated by
reference in th	nose Co	unts [check all that apply]:
	√	Count I (Action for Declaratory Relief - Liability (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count II (Medical Monitoring (Against the NFL))
		Count III (Wrongful Death and Survival Actions (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count IV (Fraudulent Concealment (Against the NFL))
	✓	Count V (Fraud (Against the NFL))
	√	Count VI (Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the NFL))
	√	Count VII (Negligence Pre-1968 (Against the NFL Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count VIII (Negligence Post-1968 (Against the NFL Defendants))
	√	Count IX (Negligence 1987-1993 (Against the NFL Defendants))
	V	Count X (Negligence Post-1994 (Against the NFL Defendants))

		Count XI (Loss of Consortium (Against the NFL and Riddell Defendants))
	✓	Count XII (Negligent Hiring (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count XIII (Negligent Retention (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count XIV (Strict Liability for Design Defect (Against the Riddell
		Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count XV (Strict Liability for Manufacturing Defect (Against the Riddell
		Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count XVI (Failure to Warn (Against the Riddell Defendants))
	√	Count XVII (Negligence (Against the Riddell Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count XVIII (Civil Conspiracy/Fraudulent Concealment (Against NFL
		Defendants))
17.	Plain	tiff asserts the following additional causes of action [write in or attach]:
 SEE A	TTAC	HMENT "A" TO THIS COMPLAINT.
 <u>.</u>		

Case 2:12-md-02323-AB | Document 3461 | Filed 08/24/12 | Page 7 of 10

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable) pray(s) for judgment as follows:

- A. An award of compensatory damages, the amount of which will be determined at trial;
- B. For punitive and exemplary damages as applicable;
- C. For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- D. For medical monitoring, whether denominated as damages or in the form of equitable relief;
- E. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
- F. An award of prejudgment interest and costs of suit; and
- G. An award of such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMANDED

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

s/ Graham LippSmith

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
FRANK P. BARBARO & ASSOCIATES
Frank P. Barbaro (California Bar No. 044417)
1111 North Broadway Blvd.
Santa Ana, CA 92701
Telephone: (714)835-2122

- 7 - Facsimile: (714)973-4892

and

GIRARDI | KEESE
Thomas Girardi (California Bar No. 36603)
Graham LippSmith (California Bar No. 221984)
1126 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 977-0211

Facsimile: (213)481-1554

ATTACHMENT "A" TO SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

COUNT XIX NEGLIGENCE - Monopolist (As Against the NFL)

- 1. The NFL, by and through its monopoly power, has historically had a duty to invoke rules that protect the health and safety of its players, including Plaintiffs, and the public.
- 2. As a monopoly, the NFL has a duty to protect the health and safety of its players, as well as the public at large.
- 3. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in its duty increased the risk that the Plaintiffs would suffer long-term neurocognitive injuries.
- 4. The Plaintiffs reasonably relied to their detriment on the NFL's actions and omissions on the subject.
- 5. Under all of the above circumstances, it was foreseeable that the NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its duties would cause or substantially contribute to the personal injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs.
- 6. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its duties proximately caused or contributed to Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 7. As a result of the NFL's negligence, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.

COUNT XX NEGLIGENCE (As Against the NFL and NFL Properties)

8. The NFL and NFL Properties breached their duty to ensure that the helmets they licensed, required and/or approved were of the highest possible quality and sufficient to protect the NFL players, including Plaintiffs, and/or they increased the plaintiffs' risks of the long term health consequences of concussive brain injury.

- 9. The NFL and NFL Properties breached their duty by licensing the Riddell Defendants' helmets, and approving and/or requiring the use of the helmets for the NFL players, knowing or having reason to know that the helmets were negligently and defectively designed and/or lacked an adequate warning.
- 10. As a result of these breaches by the NFL and NFL Properties, Plaintiffs suffer personal injuries as a result the long-term health effects of concussive brain injuries.
- 11. As a result of the NFL and NFL Properties' negligence, the NFL Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.